

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		TINGT MAN (CD DIVENTAR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONTINUATION NO
09/703,181	10/30/2000	Michael T. Moore	CY-0016	9600
759	90 11/17/2004		EXAMINER ·	
Bradley T. Sako			KIK, PHALLAKA	
3954 Loch Lom Livemore, CA	•		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
Livelloic, CA) 4330		2825	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/200	4 :

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- V				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
	09/703,181	MOORE ET AL.	MOORE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	Au	
	Phallaka Kik	2825		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	nddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	EDI VIS SET TO EXPIRE 31	MONTH(S) FROM		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory property of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and the property of the period by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered tim NTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iely. communication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	19 August 2003.			
,	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for all			he merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	i i			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.	•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2000 is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Exam	iner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form I	PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
 Certified copies of the priority docur 	ments have been received.			
Certified copies of the priority docu				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the	-	en received in this Nation	al Stage	
application from the International B		-A		
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies n	ot received.		
Attachment(s)	_			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94: 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S 	5) Notice of	f Informal Patent Application (P	PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	′ 6) ☐ Other:			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/703,181

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action responds to Applicant's amendment filed on 8/19/2003. Claims 1-23 are pending, wherein claims 7-8,21 have been amended.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 10-11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

As per **claim 10**, "may perform" (lines 2-3) should be --performs-- to clearly identify what is being claimed.

As per **claim 11**, "may" (line 2) should deleted; "may be" (line 4) should be replaced with --are-- to clearly identify what is being claimed.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Miller (US Patent No. 6,181,164).

Application/Control Number: 09/703,181

Art Unit: 2825

As per claims 1,6-10, the integrated circuit device with programmable portion (i.e., programmable gate arrays having various arrangements of configurable logic blocks) are described in col. 3, lines 35-45, wherein the communication portion with at least one circuit block manufactured to perform a predetermined data communication function or different functions, including converting received first data values into second data values, correspond to at least the parity generator (col. 4, lines 30-37), Gold code generator (converter of input data words into data words with different values) (col. 8, lines 1-44), and the code scrambler (col. 9, lines 7-32).

As per claims 2-3, the programmable portion includes interconnect portion and logic gate portion are inherently part of the configurable blocks and interconnections of the programmable gate array (FPGA) as described in col. 3, lines 35-45 being necessary to implement the method/system on the FPGA, wherein the memory of storing the configuration for the FPGA is also inherently included, being necessary to configure the FPGA to implement the desired functionalities, as is well known in the art.

As per **claim 4**, the timing circuit receiving the clock signal and generating an internal clock with phase shifted with respect to the clock signal is described in col. 8, lines 45-67, wherein since the shift clock-signal is 4 times the clock-chip signal, the shift clock signal is the received clock signal which is phase shifted or delayed to arrive at the slower speed of clock-chip signal (see also col. 6, lines 49-56).

As per claim 5, the input/output port is at least described in col. 6, lines 12-32.

As per **claim 11**, the operational control store for providing at least one user operational value configured by a user corresponds to the configuration memory as

Application/Control Number: 09/703,181

Art Unit: 2825

discussed in the rejection of claim 3 wherein such user involvement is in the generation of such circuit configuration, which are inherently necessary to implement the method/system on the programmable gate array; and wherein the preset operational values correspond to at least the LUT (look-up table) values as described in col. 5, lines 1-45.

As per **claim 12**, the communication port including multiplexers (MUXes) is also described in col. 5, lines 4-11 and col. 6, lines 12-43.

As per **claim 13**, the compatibility requirement for the data output is also described in col. 6, lines 57-67, as part of the interface with the various standards.

As per claim 14-17, the communication portions, selectable or different data communication functions including selectable datapath (i.e., MUXed selectable inputs/outputs) are summarized in col. 2, lines 6-39, wherein the XOR function generator provides for the non-programmable circuits designed to provide a selectable data communication function and the configurable lookup table provides for the selectable data paths (see also Figs. 2-6).

As per **claims 18-20**, the converter (i.e., Gold code generator) and scrambler/descrambler are also described in col. 8, lines 1-44 and col. 9, lines 7-32.

5. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Killian et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0208723).

As per **claim 21**, the performing of the predetermined function is described in paragraph [0289], and the performing of the serial data communication functions is

Art Unit: 2825

described in paragraph [0287] in which the serial port is not synthesized with the logic device configuration data (i.e., serial port is an existing dedicated circuit within the PLD).

As per **claim 23**, the encoding or encryption is also described in paragraph [0012].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Killian et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0208723) in view of Miller (US Patent No. 6,181,164).

As per claim 22, Killian et al. disclose all of the elements of claim 21, which the claims depend, as discussed in the rejection of claim 21 above, including the scrambling/encryption/encoding function on the serial data communication. However, Killian et al. failed to use the polynomial selected for the scrambling/encryption/encoding function. Such use of the polynomial selected for the scrambling/encryption/encoding function is taught in Miller (col. 8, lines 1-44; col. 9, lines 7-32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to further include the polynomial selected for the

Art Unit: 2825

et al. because such use of polynomial selection allows for better representation and/or selection of the particular bits patterns for scrambling/encryption/encoding as further taught by Miller et al. (col. 3, line 62 to col. 4, line 10).

Remarks

- 8. The rejections of claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Butts et al. (US Patent No. 5,812,414) are withdrawn in light of Applicant's argument filed on 8/19/2003, wherein as pointed out by Applicant, **Butts et al.**'s conversion is performed by a software tool, instead of the integrated circuit of the system manufactured, as claimed. As given in the new rejection above, **Miller et al.** provides for all of the claimed elements.
- 9. The rejections of claims 21-23 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roush (US Patent No. 5,457,786) are withdrawn in light of Applicant's arguments filed on 8/19/2003, wherein as pointed out by Applicant, Roush does not clearly shows that the step of performing serial data communication functions on a non-synthesized communication portion of the integrated circuit, and further lacks the scrambling/encoding function as claimed. Applicant should note that since the serial communication port used by the PLA is fixed (i.e., non-configurable or already existed in the PLA), it is therefore "non-synthesizable" which is usually the standard found in the communication ports of the programmable logic devices. Applicant should also note that the converting circuit (parallel to serial or serial to parallel) of Roush could be construed as scrambling/encoding function. As given in the new rejection above, all

Art Unit: 2825

elements of claims 21-23 are taught by **Killian et al.**, and **Killian et al.** in view of **Miller et al.**, respectively.

10. Claims 10-11 are newly objected to due to the noted informalities as given above.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Therefore, Applicant is herein requested to consider them carefully in response to this Office Action.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phallaka Kik whose telephone number is 571-272-1895. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexitime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Application/Control Number: 09/703,181

Art Unit: 2825

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

703-872-9318 (for Before-Final) and 703-872-9319 (for After-Final) for formal communications intended for entry,

Or:

(571) 273-1895 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" and let the examiner know prior to faxing).

November 12, 2004

MATTHEW SMITH
SUPERUSCHY PATENT BUWWINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600